

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,817	01/07/2002		Scott Russell	CRD-0988	CRD-0988 2890	
27777	7590	03/01/2004		EXAMINER		
PHILIP S. J			NGUYE	NGUYEN, VI X		
JOHNSON & ONE JOHNS		JN HNSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUN	ISWICK,	NJ 08933-7003	3731	5		

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	a				
		10/040,817	RUSSELL, SCOTT					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Victor X Nguyen	3731					
Davis d 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		e correspondence address					
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. POR STATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. POR STATE OF	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for te, cause the application to become ABANDO ng date of this communication, even if timely fi	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
2a)								
3)								
Disposit	tion of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-36 are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.						
Applicat	tion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	,				
2) Noti 3) Info	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/040,817 Page 2

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-27 and 34-36, drawn to a vascular filter system, classified in class 606, subclass 200.

- II. Claim 28, drawn to a method for capturing embolic in a vessel, classified in class128, subclass 898.
- III. Claims 29-33, drawn to a vascular filter system in a vessel, classified in class 604, subclass 96.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the product as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process of using that product. (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In this case the product may be used in a materially different method, such as using a device to dissect tissue along vessel. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions II and III are related as product and process for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the product as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process of using that product. (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In this case the method may be used in a materially different product, such as using a device to dissect tissue

Application/Control Number: 10/040,817 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

along vessel. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species 1 Figs 1-4a, b

Species 2 Figs 5a-d

Species 3 Figs 6a-e

Species 4 Figs7a-c

Species 5 Figs 8a-f

Species 6 Figs 9a-d

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 3731

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor X Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-4898. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8-4.30 P.M).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Milano can be reached on (703) 308-2496. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Victor X Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 3731

Vn Vu February 24, 2004

> MICHAEL J. MILANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700